Proposition Q

Declaration of Policy—
Housing Projects

PROPOSITION Q, as it appears on ballot

CITY AND COUNTY
PROPOSITION

YES  Q  NO

DECLARATION OF POLICY: Shall private sponsors with state public body financial assistance develop, construct, or acquire low-rent housing projects within the city and county of San Francisco to provide not more than 3,000 dwelling units for living accommodations for persons or families who lack the amount of income necessary to enable them, without financial assistance, to live in decent, safe and sanitary dwellings, without overcrowding, including projects which have dwelling units designed specifically for eligible elderly and handicapped persons?

Analysis

By Ballot Simplification Committee
Policy Declaration About More
Low Rent Housing

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The State Housing Finance and Community Development Agency can make money available to private sponsors for the purpose of building or developing low rent housing. Before this money can be used, a majority of voters in a city must approve the construction of the low rent housing projects.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition Q would allow up to 3000 more low rent housing units in San Francisco.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to allow up to 3000 more low rent housing units in San Francisco.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to allow up to 3000 more low rent housing units in San Francisco.

Controller’s Statement on “Q”

City Controller John C. Farrell issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition Q.

“Should the proposed declaration of policy be adopted, it is my opinion that it would not, of itself, create any additional costs, as it is my understanding that the housing to be built will be privately built, owned and operated.”

How Supervisors Voted on “Q”

(Aug. 16) Board of Supervisors Clerk Gilbert Borraa today certified that Proposition Q, the proposed Declaration of Policy relating to construction of privately sponsored housing projects, was ordered submitted to the voters by the Board of Supervisors by a vote of 0 to 0.

Voting “Aye” were Supervisors Barbagallo, Feinstein, Fransco, Kopp, Mendelsohn, Molinar, Poole, Tamar and von Borkeldingen.

None of the Supervisors present voted “No”.

16—Voter Information Pamphlet